1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA * * * 6 7 Case No. 2:17-cv-01062-RFB-VCF MICHAEL RAY YOUNG, 8 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 9 v. 10 STATE OF NEVADA, 11 Defendant. 12 13 Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 5) of the 14 Honorable Cam Ferenbach, United States Magistrate Judge, entered May 2, 2017. 15 A district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or 16 recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific 17 written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 18 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is 19 required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed 20 findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local 21 Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct 22 "any review," de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 23 Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due 24 by May 16, 2017. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case 25 and concurs with the Magistrate Judge's recommendations. 26 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 5) is

27

28

. . .

ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Young's claims brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1985, and 1983 against Officer Kaylor and Officer Dyer in their official capacities are DISMISSED with prejudice. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Young's claims brought pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242, and 245 against Officer Kaylor and Officer Dyer are DISMISSED with prejudice The Clerk of Court is directed to serve a copy of this Order upon Plaintiff. DATED: June 1, 2017. RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II **United States District Judge**